

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/532,229	10/24/2005	Ali Chaouche	052488	9062
29980 75	90 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
NICOLAS E. SECKEL			TRAN, DIEM T	
Patent Attorney 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3748	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,229	CHAOUCHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Diem Tran	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 7/14/06. In this amendment, claims 1, 4, 7 have been amended and claims 3, 6 have been canceled. Overall, claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro et al. (US Patent 6,901,747) in view of Tonetti et al. (US Patent 6,666,020).

Regarding claim 1, Tashiro discloses a system for assisting regeneration of a storage/release NOx trap integrated in an exhaust line of a motor vehicle diesel engine, the system comprising:

gas admission means for admitting gas into the engine, means for injecting fuel into the cylinders thereof in the form of at least pilot and main injections, and means for controlling said gas admission for periodically switching the engine between a lean mixture standard operating mode in which NOx is stored in the trap and a rich mixture regeneration operating mode, in which NOx is released from the trap and the trap is regenerated, wherein in a rich-mixture regeneration operating modes the injection means are suitable for implementing at least one pilot injections triggered in a crankshaft angle range from approximately 50° to approximately 5°

Art Unit: 3748

Ŷ

ahead of the top dead centre point of the cylinder concerned and the main injection is triggered in an undercalibrated range up to a crankshaft angle of approximately 35° after the top dead center point (see Figure 5A, col. 14, lines 28+); controlling the fuel injection means in accordance with the standard and regeneration modes of operation for engine loads below a predetermined threshold value (i.e. idling operation) (see col. 19, lines 55-64); however, fails to disclose that one more pilot injection is injected before main injection. Tonetti teaches that at least two pilot injections are injected into the engine in order to condition a combustion chamber for complete combustion of a main fuel injection (see Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching of Tonetti in the Digeser system, since the use thereof would have maintained drivability of a vehicle during regeneration of a NOx trap.

Regarding claim 2, Tashiro further discloses that the control means are adapted to control the gas admission means to reduce the quantity of gas admitted into the engine when said engine is in its regeneration mode of operation (see col. 14, lines 28-34, col. 20, lines 56-65).

Regarding claim 4, the modified Tashiro system discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, however, fails to specifically disclose the predetermined load threshold value is defined by a brake mean effective pressure of approximately 3 bars.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum load threshold value, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 7, the modified Tashiro system discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, however, fails to specifically disclose operating the engine with a lean mixture for approximately 60 seconds and with a rich mixture for approximately 2 seconds.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum lean time and rich time for operating the engine, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro et al. (US Patent 6,901,747) in view of Tonetti et al. (US Patent 6,666,020) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Digeser et al. (US Patent 6,082,325).

The modified Tashiro system discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, however, fails to disclose the engine being associated with means for recirculating exhaust gas to its inlet side, and regulating the operation of the recirculation means during operation of the engine with a rich mixture. Digeser teaches regulating the operation of the exhaust gas recirculation during operation of the engine with a rich mixture (see col. 1, lines 41-60, col. 9, lines 14-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching of Digeser in the modified Tashiro system, since the use thereof would have been conventional in the art to control the composition of the air admitted into the engine to assist the regeneration of the NOx trap.

Art Unit: 3748

Response to Arguments

Page 5

Applicant's remarks filed on 7/14/06 have been fully considered. Upon further search

and consideration, the examiner has withdrawn the indicated patentable subject matter;

however, a new non-final rejection is set forth above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4866. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax number for this

group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 800-786-9199 (toll-free).

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Brenton-

Art unit 3748

DT

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700